

A roadmap towards exascale agent-based modelling:

A brief overview of the ExAMPLER project

Gary Polhill



ExAMPLER: project summary

Using **exascale computing**, what **software support** do we need to co-design, build, validate, and **explore policy scenarios** with **empirical ABM** in a one-day transdisciplinary workshop?

1 Assess 'exascale readiness' using Systematic Literature Review and Benchmarking

2 Vision of exascale ABM and one-day transdisciplinary workshop scenario s/w requirements

3 Roadmap for delivering the vision founded on exascale training and learning

4 Engage with ABM community and other ExCALIBUR projects; respond to opportunities



HPC/ABM Review

HPC in ABM: State-of-the-art and ways forward



Position paper

Exascale Empirical Agent-Based Modelling



ExAMPLER Roadmap

Skills Training
Software Barriers
Networks
Research Funding



Community Report

W'shops
SSC/ESSA
iEMs
GIScience
AAMAS
AAG

Visioning: Capabilities, Use Cases, Threats

Threat: Inequitable access to exascale resources

Threat: Increased Energy Consumption

Threat: Ethics of 1:1 Social Simulation

Threat: Dependence on AI for Analysis

Use Case: Individual-based Social Science

Use Case: Rapid Real-Time Policy Modelling

Use Case: Formalizing Social Theories

Use Case: Model Emulation

Capacity: AI

Capacity: Data



Challenges – or benefits?



EXAMPLER

120 Million Agents Self-Organize into 6 Million Firms: A Model of the U.S. Private Sector

Robert L. Axtell
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030 USA
+1 (703) 556-0333
rax222@gmu.edu

ABSTRACT

An agent model is described at full-scale with the U.S. private sector, consisting of some 120 million agents. Using data on the population of U.S. firms the model is calibrated to closely reproduce firm sizes, ages, growth rates, job tenure and labor flows, along with several other empirically-important facts. It consists of a coalition formation model in which the Nash equilibria are dynamically unstable for sufficiently large coalitions. When agents are free to join coalitions where they are made better off there results a steady-state distribution of coalitions. The agent level is in perpetual disequilibrium but the aggregate level approaches a steady-state. This model represents a significant advance over conventional approaches to economic modeling, made possible by large-scale, parallel agent computing.

CCS Concepts

Computing methodologies: Parallel computing methodologies; massively parallel algorithms; self-organization; Artificial intelligence; distributed artificial intelligence; Modeling and simulation; simulation types and techniques; agent/discrete

the economy is in general equilibrium then there is no way to realize micro-dynamics except by the imposition of external shocks. Can microeconomic models *endogenously* produce the kinds of dynamics observed empirically when the incentives agents have to change jobs are fully represented?

Here I describe a microeconomic model capable of producing, *without* exogenous shocks, firm and labor dynamics of the size and type the U.S. economy experiences. While conventional explanations for these large labor flows exist [e.g., 3], here I provide a microeconomic explanation without the need for aggregate shocks. Also reproduced are a number of cross-sectional properties of U.S. businesses. Over the past decade there have appeared increasing amounts of micro-data on U.S. firms, including administratively *comprehensive* (tax record-based) data on firm sizes, ages, growth rates, labor productivity, job tenure, and wages. Extant theories place few restrictions on these data.¹ Lucas [10] derives Pareto-distributed firm sizes from a Pareto distribution of managerial talent. Lutmer [11, 12] obtains Zipf-distributed firm sizes and exponential firm ages [13] in a variety of general equilibrium settings, driven by exogenous shocks. Rossi-Hansberg and Wright [14] study establishment growth and exit



CONTENT

ANTISOCIAL SIMULATION: USING SHARED HIGH-PERFORMANCE COMPUTING CLUSTERS TO RUN AGENT-BASED MODELS

🕒 DECEMBER 14, 2022 👤 THESUBMISSIONAUTHOR 💬 LEAVE A COMMENT

By [Gary Polhill](#)

- High-throughput – one run per core – parameter space / initial conditions exploration
 - Can this be done on GPUs as well as CPUs?
 - Improvements in calibration and validation?
- Parallelism – will agent interactions kill the benefits?
 - Cognitive / Adaptive / AI decision-making algorithms
 - Typically only feasible now with small-scale models
 - Rob Axtell's model of US economy
 - Had to model HR departments...
 - ...Parallelism is also a challenge for societies!
- Accessibility of infrastructure
 - Compute time and memory unpredictable (Polhill 2022)
 - Need adaptive schedulers – fun computing science!?

Axtell RL (2016) [Proc AAMAS 15](#)
Hare M et al. (2024) [AAMAS 23](#)
Polhill G (2022) [Rev Artif Soc Soc Sim, 14 Dec](#)
Polhill G et al. (2023) [Rev Artif Soc Soc Sim, 29 Sep](#)



Urban
Big
Data
Centre



The James
Hutton
Institute

Funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Grant Number EP/Y008839/1, with support from the Scottish Government Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division (project reference JHI-C5-1)



Engineering and
Physical Sciences
Research Council



Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot